1	JOSEPH P. RUSSONIELLO (CASBN 44332) United States Attorney
2 3	BRIAN J. STRETCH (CASBN 163973) Chief, Criminal Division
<b>4</b> 5	JEFFREY R. FINIGAN (CASBN 168285) Assistant United States Attorney
6 7 8	450 Golden Gate Avenue San Francisco, California 94102 Telephone: (415) 436-7232 Facsimile: (415) 436-7234 Email: jeffrey.finigan@usdoj.gov
9	Attorneys for Plaintiff
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
11	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
12	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION
13	STRVI IVIIVOISCO BIVISIOIV
14 15	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,  Plaintiff,  Criminal No. CR 07-0074 WHA
16 17	v. STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER EXCLUDING TIME
18	MICHAEL EDISON,
19	Defendant.
20	)
21 22	The above centioned matter same before the Court on March 26, 2009. The defendant
23	The above-captioned matter came before the Court on March 26, 2008. The defendant was represented by Richard Mazer, Esq., and the government was represented by Jeffrey Finigan,
24	Assistant United States Attorney. The matter was set before this Court for trial on October 27,
25	2008.
26	The Court made a finding that the time from and including March 26, 2008, through and
27	including October 27, 2008, should be excluded under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C.
28	§ 3161(h)(8)(A), because the ends of justice served by taking such action outweighed the best
	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER EXCLUDING TIME CR 07-0074 WHA

interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. The finding was based on the need for the defendant to have reasonable time necessary for effective preparation and for continuity of counsel pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(B)(iv).

The parties hereby agree to and request that the case be continued until October 27, 2008, and that the exclusion of time until then be granted. The parties agree and stipulate that the additional time is appropriate and necessary under Title 18, United States Code, § 3161(h)(8)(A), because the ends of justice served by this continuance outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. This time exclusion will allow defense counsel to effectively prepare, taking into account the exercise of due diligence, and will provide for continuity of counsel for the defendant.

DATED: March 27, 2008

**DATED:** March 27, 2008

DATED: March 27, 2008.

RICHARD MAZER Counsel for Michael Edison

So ordered.

JEFFREY B. PANTOAN Assistant V.S. Attorney Judge William Alsup

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]
ORDER EXCLUDING TIME
CR 07-0074 WHA